Fertility Decline in the Arab Region: A Global Perspective

John B. Casterline

INED 18 December 2012





Objectives

- 1. Sources of fertility decline: how do Arab declines compare with declines in other regions?
- 2. Obstacles to decline to replacement level
- 3. Low fertility and kinship structures

1. Sources of Fertility Decline

Long-standing debate: <u>principal</u> source of fertility decline in developing countries has been:

- *Decline in the desired number of children* OR
- Greater success in avoiding unwanted births

If the former. driver is economic and social change

If the latter. driver is more effective birth control

One can perform a simple decomposition using identity:

TFR = wTFR + uTFR

where wTFR is Wanted TFR uTFR is Unwanted TFR

Results for 51 countries and 102 inter-survey periods:

<u>Due to</u>	<u>Median</u>	<u>25th</u>	<u>75th</u>	
wTFR	57%	35%	86%	

This is revealing, but not without serious shortcomings. Consider these rates:

TFR = wTFR +	uTFR v	where	wTFR uTFR	is is	Wanted TFR Unwanted TFR
Denominator.	wTFR &	& uTFR:	all wom	en	
<i>Numerators</i> :	wTFR: uTFR:	wanted unwante	births ed births		

- Problem: <u>all</u> women not at risk of wanted (or unwanted) births
 - ===> wTFR and uTFR will change as the <u>preference</u> <u>composition</u> changes

Consider simplified model of the reproductive career

Assume that women:

- are capable of conceiving from ages 15 49
- first experience a pre-marriage period of low risk of conceiving
- after marriage: first want children, then want to stop childbearing
- have rates of childbearing in all of these states

The Reproductive Career:





And therefore fertility will decline when:

- E_n and E_u increase (assuming $R_w > R_u$)

-
$$R_w$$
 and R_u decrease



That is, fertility declines when:

- women spend more time single and/or wanting to stop childbearing
- rates of wanted and/or unwanted childbearing decline

This leads to a simple (nested) formulation for agespecific fertility rates [f]:

$$f = r^{n*}e^{n} + r^{w*}e^{w*}(1-e^{n}) + r^{u*}(1-e^{w})^{*}(1-e^{n})$$

Treating $r^{n*}e^n$ as the "nuptiality" component, this is fourelement expression for f:

r ^{n*} e ⁿ	nuptiality
ew	preference composition (more vs. no more)
rw	<u>conditional</u> wanted fertility rate
r ^u	<u>conditional</u> unwanted fertility rate

Hence there are <u>four sources of fertility decline</u>:

- 1. More adult years spent single (*marriage change*)
- 2. More married *years spent not wanting another child* (vs. wanting a child)
- 3. Decline in rate of childbearing among those wanting another child (*wanted fertility rate*)
- 4. Decline in rate of childbearing among those <u>not</u> wanting another child (*unwanted fertility rate*)

#2 reflects changes in the desired number of children

#3 and #4 reflect more effective birth control

1. Sources of Decline: Empirical Results

Decomposition of fertility change (TFR decline):

- Limited to inter-survey periods with >0.4 decline
- 51 countries, 102 inter-survey periods, 1975 2011
- WFS, DHS, PAP, RHS

Casterline - el-Zeini (2007) estimates of unwanted fertility

Decomposition via standardization

Metric: per decade effect on TFR (of each component)

1. Sources of Decline: Empirical Results (cont)

Median values [births per decade decline in TFR]

Component	Arab	Africa	Asia	Latin America
Nuptiality	0.53	0.37	0.20	0.02
Wanted Rate	0.27	0.01	0.08	0.29
Unwanted Rate	0.45	0.23	0.41	0.56
Pref Composition	0.05	0.15	0.09	0.00
Rate of Decline (births per decade)	1.34	0.84	0.73	0.94
N countries / N inter-survey periods	8 / 19	19 / 31	10 / 18	14 / 34



1. Sources of Decline: Empirical Results (cont)

In previous two slides, note large contributions of declines in unwanted fertility rate and wanted fertility rate. These declines are portrayed in next two slides, with Arab region highlighted.





1. Sources of Decline: Sum-Up

Considering the four components

- nuptiality
- wanted fertility rates
- unwanted fertility rates
- fertility desires

Arab declines are distinctive:

- Large contribution of *nuptiality*
- (Moderately) large contribution of *wanted fertility*

<u>Not</u> distinctive:

- Small contribution of changes in fertility desires

2. Obstacles to Decline to Replacement Level

Two classes of constraints:

- Fertility desires [*Motives*]
- Birth control options [*Means*]

2. Obstacles to Decline (cont.)

Fertility desires [Motives]

Lack of firm attachment to two-child family

See next three slides:

- mean ideal number of children among recently married >2.5 in most countries and >3.0 in many
- <5% have ideal of 0 or 1 child</p>
- >50% have ideal of 3+ in most countries







Note: among women who provide a numeric ideal

2. Obstacles to Decline (cont.)

Fertility desires [Motives]

See next two slides:

- <50% want to stop at parity 2 in most countries
- <70% want to stop at parity 3 in most countries</p>

Percentage Not Wanting Another Birth, Parity 2



Percentage Not Wanting Another Birth, Parity 3



2. Obstacles to Decline (cont.)

Fertility desires [Motives]

Ideals: lack of attachment to two-child family

Preferences: <50% wish to stop at two children

2. Obstacles to Decline (cont.)

Birth control options [Means]

At issue is acceptability of means for very effective termination of childbearing after a small number of children (e.g. two children)

- Sterilization
- Induced abortion

Neither method of birth control is commonly employed at present in Arab region (with few exceptions)

And many reasons to assume this will continue . . .



2. Obstacles to Decline: Sum-Up

Significant, and possibly robust, factors acting against the achievement of low fertility in the short-term

- Two-child norm is not firmly and widely established
- Neither sterilization nor induced abortion are generally available as methods of birth control

Arguing to the contrary – sharp changes in nuptiality, and the potential for further such change

Should not assume that most countries in the region will follow the pathways of Lebanon and Tunisia . . .

3. Low Fertility and Kinship Structures

Arab declines to date unusual in reliance on nuptiality

High fraction not marrying

+

Relatively high levels of marital fertility

This model of low fertility has implications for kinship configurations

3. Low Fertility and Kinship (cont.)

	Parity Progression			
	<u>Brazil</u>	<u>India</u>	<u>Tunisia</u>	
0>1	0.91	0.90	0.66	
1>2	0.63	0.88	0.92	
2>3	0.39	0.39	0.74	
3>4	0.27	0.33	0.58	
4>5	0.10	0.10	0.20	

Completed			
<u>Parity</u>	<u>Brazil</u>	<u>India</u>	<u>Tunisia</u>
0	0.09	0.10	0.34
1	0.34	0.11	0.05
2	0.35	0.48	0.16
3	0.16	0.21	0.19
4	0.05	0.09	0.21
5	0.01	0.01	0.05
Total	1.00	1.00	1.00
Mean Parity	1.77	2.11	2.03

Period parity-progression: months 1-60 preceding survey

3. Low Fertility and Kinship (cont)

Kinship micro-simulation results: mean number of specified kin

Type of Kin	Age	Brazil	India	Tunisia
Siblings	10	1.2	1.4	2.0
	35	1.2	1.5	2.1
	60	1.1	1.3	1.9
1 st Cousins	10	3.5	4.6	5.7
	35	3.5	4.6	5.7
Uncles	10	2.7	3.0	4.1
	35	2.2	2.5	3.3

3. Low Fertility and Kinship (cont)

Low fertility is compatible with maintenance of moderately rich kin network

<u>Especially</u> when low fertility is achieved via large fractions of women having 0 children

Arab pathway to low fertility has not, to date, threatened existence of valued kin relations to the extent one might imagine . . .

Acknowledgments

This research was supported in part by grant R24-HD058484 from the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health & Human Development awarded to the Ohio State University Institute for Population Research.

Access to PAPFAM data was kindly provided by Dr. Ahmen Monem, Director of PAPFAM (Cairo).

Research assistance provided by Jennifer Adams Mendoza and Colin Odden

Discussions with Laila el-Zeini (Cairo University and AUC/SRC) contributed substantially to this research.